

and energy which establishes a ceiling rate at Maine Public's cost of service for the units available for sale.

Comment date: October 6, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation

[Docket No. ER95-1735-000]

Take notice that on September 12, 1995, Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation (RG&E), tendered for filing a Service Agreement for acceptance by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) between RG&E and MidCon Power Services Corp. The terms and conditions of service under this Agreement are made pursuant to RG&E's FERC Electric Rate Schedule, Original Volume 1 (Power Sales Tariff) accepted by the Commission in Docket No. ER94-1279. RG&E also has requested waiver of the 60-day notice provision pursuant to 18 CFR 35.11.

A copy of this filing has been served on the Public Service Commission of the State of New York.

Comment date: October 6, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. UtiliCorp United Inc.

[Docket No. ES95-40-000]

Take notice that on September 15, 1995, UtiliCorp United Inc. filed an application under § 204 of the Federal Power Act seeking authorization to issue unsecured notes and other evidences of indebtedness, including financial guarantees of subsidiaries' or affiliates' securities, aggregating up to and including \$350 million principal amount outstanding at any one time, during the period from January 1, 1996 through December 31, 1997, with final maturities not later than December 31, 1998.

Comment date: October 16, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make

protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-24458 Filed 9-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-750-000, et al.]

East Tennessee Natural Gas Company, et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

September 22, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. East Tennessee Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-750-000]

Take notice that on September 13, 1995, as supplemented on September 21, 1995, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP95-750-000 a request pursuant to Section 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) for authorization to modify an existing delivery point metering facility for an existing customer, United Cities Gas Company (United Cities). East Tennessee makes such request, under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP90-1292-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

East Tennessee indicates that it currently delivers natural gas for United Cities at its Boones Creek Meter Station located in Washington County, Tennessee, under a firm transportation agreement pursuant to its Rate Schedule FT-A and the general terms and conditions of its FERC Gas Tariff. East Tennessee states that it has recently entered into an agreement with United Cities, to transport an additional 3,000 dekatherms per day to the Boones Creek Meter Station, and that such additional deliveries will cause the flow rate to exceed the flow rate that can be accurately measured at the existing facility. Therefore, in order to accommodate the increased deliveries to United Cities, and to improve the measurement accuracy at this facility, East Tennessee is proposing herein to install an additional meter run parallel to the existing meter station. It is stated that the meter run will consist of a 2-

inch turbine meter, appurtenant facilities and electronic gas measurement facilities, and will be constructed within the confines of the Boones Creek meter station yard in Washington County, Tennessee at an estimated cost of \$53,000. East Tennessee proposes to recover the incremental cost of constructing the facilities, from the shippers through the incremental reservation charges associated with the increased firm transportation service to be provided at this point.

It is stated that this modification, of the above stated delivery point will increase the available capacity at the Boones Creek Meter Station by 3,000 dekatherms per day and 1,095,000 dekatherms annually. East Tennessee further states that the additional capacity is available only at the points between Early Grove and the Boones Creek Meter Station due to East Tennessee's operational design. East Tennessee states that it has adequate capacity to accommodate the delivery of the additional volumes for the account of United Cities, without detriment or disadvantage to its other customers. It is averred that the total quantities to be delivered to United Cities will not exceed the quantities authorized by its existing tariff.

Comment date: November 6, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

2. Northwest Pipeline Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-773-000]

Take notice that on September 20, 1995, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), P.O. Box 58900, Salt Lake City, Utah 84158-0900, filed in Docket No. CP95-773-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211 and 157.216) for authorization to remove and abandon existing metering facilities at the Coburn Meter Station, Lane County, Oregon and to construct and upgrade facilities at the meter station to accommodate a request by Northwest Natural Gas Company (Northwest Natural) for additional delivery capacity and higher delivery pressures at the meter station, under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-433-000, pursuant to Sections 7(b) and 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest states that the existing Coburn Meter Station consists of a 2-inch tap, two 1-inch regulators, a 2-inch rotary meter and appurtenances. It is stated that the existing maximum design

capacity of the meter station is 550 million Btu per day at the contractual delivery pressure of 150 psig. It is also stated that Northwest presently has firm maximum daily delivery obligations to deliver up to a total of 466 dt equivalent of natural gas per day at 150 psig to Northwest Natural at the meter station under existing Rate Schedule TF-1 and TF-2 transportation agreements.

It is stated that Northwest Natural has requested that Northwest upgrade the meter station to provide 1,000 dt equivalent of natural gas per day at a delivery pressure of 400 psig. It is indicated that, to use the requested additional capacity to serve its growing requirements in the Coburn area, Northwest Natural and Northwest have agreed to amend their July 31, 1991, agreement to reallocate 534 dt equivalent of natural gas from the Brownsville-Halsey delivery point to the Coburg delivery point.

Northwest states that, to accommodate Northwest Natural's request, Northwest proposes to upgrade the meter station by removing the existing regulator pilots and throttle plates and installing replacement pilots and throttle plates, a gas filter and appurtenances with a maximum design delivery capacity of 1,400 dt equivalent of natural gas per day at a delivery pressure of 400 psig.

Northwest estimates the total cost of the proposed facility upgrade to be approximately \$20,000, including the costs to remove the existing facilities. It is also indicated that, pursuant to the facilities agreement, Northwest Natural has agreed to extend the primary term of its November 1, 1993, Rate Schedule SGS-2 firm storage agreement with Northwest by one year until April 30, 1998. It is stated that the present value of additional future revenues generated by this term extension will exceed the present value of the incremental cost of service attributable to the proposed meter station upgrade. Northwest, pursuant to the terms of the facilities reimbursement provisions of its tariff, indicates that it will not be reimbursed for the Coburn meter facility costs.

Northwest advises that the total volumes to be delivered to the customer after the request do not exceed the total volumes authorized prior to the request. Also, Northwest indicates that the proposed activity is not prohibited by its existing tariff.

Comment date: November 6, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

3. CNG Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP95-767-000]

Take notice that on September 19, 1995, CNG Transmission Corporation (CNG), 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301, filed in Docket No. CP95-767-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for permission and approval to abandon a natural gas storage service for New York State Electric and Gas Corporation (NYSEG), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

CNG proposes to abandon the service which was carried out under the terms of a service agreement dated April 1, 1991, under CNG's Rate Schedule GSS. It is stated that the storage service was authorized by the Commission in Docket No. CP80-292-000 and extended in Docket No. CP91-554-000. It is asserted that CNG was authorized to store up to 275,000 dt equivalent of natural gas for NYSEG to serve its Lockport, New York, market, with a maximum storage demand of 3,750 dt equivalent of gas per day. It is stated that CNG and NYSEG have agreed to allow CNG to render this storage service under CNG's Part 284 blanket certificate. It is further stated that CNG would continue to provide NYSEG with secure access to firm storage service entitlements. It is stated that CNG would utilize the same facilities, and that no facilities would be abandoned.

Comment date: October 13, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

4. Williams Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-774-000]

Take notice that on September 21, 1995, Williams Natural Gas Company (WNG), P.O. Box 3288, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74101, filed a prior notice request with the Commission in Docket No. CP95-774-000 pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to abandon, replace, and relocate various pipeline facilities used to serve Western Resources, Inc. (WRI) in Shawnee and Douglas Counties, Kansas, under WNG's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-479-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA, all as more fully set forth in the request which is open to the public for inspection.

WNG proposes to (1) abandon by reclaim approximately 10 miles of 8-inch diameter pipe and replace it with 10 miles of 10-inch diameter pipe on WNG's Forbes line, which serves the Forbes town border station (TBS) near Topeka, Kansas; (2) abandon by reclaim

approximately 2.5 miles of 16-inch diameter pipe installed in 1930 and replace it with 2.5 miles of new 16-inch diameter pipe; and, (3) relocate the WRI Forbes and Berrytown TBS' and 18 WRI domestic customers, as well as the Auburn TBS and one domestic customer served by WNG, from the existing 8- and 16-inch diameter pipes to the new 10- and 16-inch diameter pipes. WNG states that no service would be lost under this proposal.

WNG also states that portions of the existing 8- and 16-inch diameter pipes run through a rock quarry owned and operated by Martin Marietta Aggregates (MMA). According to WNG, MMA plans to expand its mining operations and has agreed to pay WNG \$165,000 to remove the pipe from its quarry. WNG would pay the remaining \$2,546,840 in estimated removal and construction costs.

Comment date: November 6, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs:

F. Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the comment date, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and/or permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes

that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-24457 Filed 9-29-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP85-221-052, et al.]

Frontier Gas Storage Company et al.; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

September 25, 1995.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Frontier Gas Storage Company

[Docket No. CP85-221-052]

Take notice that on September 19, 1995, Frontier Gas Storage Company (Frontier), c/o Reid & Priest, Market Square, 701 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 800, Washington, D.C. 20004, in compliance with the provisions of the Commission's February 13, 1985, Order in Docket No. CP82-487-000, *et al.*, submitted an executed Service Agreement under Rate Schedule LVS-1 providing for the possible sale of up to a daily quantity of 50,000 MMBtu, not to exceed 5 Bcf for the term of the Agreement, of Frontier's gas storage inventory on an "as metered" basis to Interenergy Resources Corporation.

Under Subpart (b) of Ordering Paragraph (F) of the Commission's February 13, 1985, Order, Frontier is "authorized to commence the sale of its inventory under such an executed service agreement fourteen days after filing the agreement with the Commission, and may continue making

such sale unless the Commission issues an order either requiring Frontier to stop selling and setting the matter for hearing or permitting the sale to continue and establishing other procedures for resolving the matter."

Comment date: October 12, 1995, in accordance with the first paragraph of Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

2. Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP93-100-002]

Take notice that on September 21, 1995, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), 5400 Westheimer Court, P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251-1642, filed an application with the Commission in Docket No. CP93-100-002 pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to amend the Commission's order issued September 22, 1993, in Docket No. CP93-100-000,¹ all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Eastern proposes to defer the currently authorized rebuilding and upgrading of the Westinghouse Electric W-52 regenerative cycle gas turbines at the Grantville and Bechtelsville compressor stations in Pennsylvania. Texas Eastern proposes to defer the authorized 1996 upgrading of two turbines at the Grantville compressor station such that one unit would be upgraded in 1996 and the other unit would be upgraded in 1997. In addition, Texas Eastern proposes to defer the currently authorized 1997 upgrading of two turbines at the Bechtelsville compressor station such that one unit would be upgraded in 1998 and the other unit would be upgraded in 1999. Texas Eastern estimates that it would cost \$16,979,000 to upgrade these four gas turbines on the proposed deferred basis.

Texas Eastern requests to amend the Commission order issued in Docket No. CP93-100-000 to better use and manage its construction resources. Texas Eastern states that the requested deferrals would not prohibit it from meeting system requirements.

Comment date: October 16, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph F at the end of this notice.

3. El Paso Natural Gas Company

[Docket No. CP95-763-000]

Take notice that on September 19, 1995, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El

Paso), a Delaware corporation, whose mailing address is Post Office Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978, filed a request for authorization in Docket No. CP95-763-000, pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 157.212) to construct and operate a tie-in facility in Luna County, New Mexico. El Paso states that the tie-in facility will be installed between El Paso's existing Truth or Consequences (T-or-C) Delivery Point and El Paso's 30" O.D. Line No. 1600 (Waha-Ehrenberg Line) in Luna County, New Mexico, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

El Paso states that such connection will permit higher delivery pressures to PNM Gas Services, a division of Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM Gas Services) (formerly Gas Company of New Mexico, a division of Public Service Company of New Mexico. The request states that El Paso provides firm transportation service to PNM Gas Services pursuant to the terms and conditions of a Transportation Service Agreement (TSA) dated November 12, 1990, as amended, between the parties. This TSA provides for the firm transportation of PNM Gas Services' full requirements of natural gas (except for those delivery points which have a specific maximum daily quantity) to consumers situated within the State of New Mexico.

The request further states that PNM Gas Services has indicated to El Paso that during the periods of peak demand on El Paso's Southern System, PNM Gas Services has experienced pressure problems on its distribution system serving the community of Truth or Consequences, New Mexico. In this regard, PNM Gas Services has requested that El Paso increase the existing delivery pressure at the T-or-C Delivery Point. El Paso is also aware of new and projected load growth along the T-or-C Line and in and around the community of Truth or Consequences, New Mexico.

El Paso states that consequently, it has agreed to connect El Paso's existing T-or-C Delivery Point and El Paso's 30" O.D. Waha-Ehrenberg Line. The tie-in would be accomplished by connecting approximately 160 feet of new 4½" O.D. pipeline to an existing 4½" O.D. blow off valve assembly (physically located at El Paso's Florida Compressor Station). The tie-in facility would extend from

¹ 64 FERC ¶61,330 (1993).